

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,958	05/10/2001	Arata Tomita	Q64221	4398	
75	12/08/2003	EXAMINER			
	MION, ZINN, MACPE	MAKI, STEVEN D			
Washington, D	mia Avenue, NW C 20037-3213	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1733		

DATÉ MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		(2)17
		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	
		09/851,958		TOMITA, ARATA	
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Steven D. Mak	i	1733	
The MAILING DATE of	his communication a	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Status	COMMUNICATION for the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a re, the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statuan three months after the mail	l. 1.136(a). In no event, ho eply within the statutory r d will apply and will expi ute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 22	September 2003			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fir	nal.		
3) Since this application is closed in accordance w					e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7,9-11,15 and	18-22 is/are pending	in the application	l .		
4a) Of the above claim(s					
5) Claim(s) is/are a	lowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7, 9-11, 15 and</u>	<u>/ 18-22</u> is/are rejected	d.			
7) Claim(s) is/are of	ojected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and	or election requir	rement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is obje	cted to by the Examir	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request	that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing she	•	·			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration i		Examiner. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119					
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c) ☐		gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certification from to application from to the certification from to the certification from to the certification from th	f the priority documer f the priority documer ified copies of the pri ne International Bure Office action for a lis	nts have been red iority documents au (PCT Rule 17 st of the certified	ceived in Application have been received .2(a)). copies not received	d in this National d.	_
13) Acknowledgment is made since a specific reference 37 CFR 1.78.	was included in the f	irst sentence of the	ne specification or	in an Application	
a) ☐ The translation of th14)☐ Acknowledgment is made					a specific
reference was included in					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89			Interview Summary (
2)	- ,		Notice of Informal Pa Other:	itent Application (PTC)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/851,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

1) A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-22-03 has been entered.

- 2) The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the insertion filed 5-10-01, "This is a divisional of Application No. 09/042,642" should be --This is a continuation of Application No. 09/042,642--.
 - Appropriate correction is required.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4) Claims 7, 9-11, 15 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 11, 18 and 19, it is unclear if a pneumatic tire or a vehicle having a pneumatic tire mounted thereon is being claimed. The description of "A pneumatic tire ..." in the preamble indicates that a pneumatic tire is being claimed. However, the description of "wherein the tire is mounted on a vehicle" in the body of the claim and applicant's corresponding arguments filed 9-22-03 indicate that a vehicle having a pneumatic tire mounted thereon is being claimed. In each of claims 11, 18 and 19, it is

Art Unit: 1733

suggested to (1) change "A pneumatic tire" (line 1) to --A combination of a vehicle and a pneumatic tire-- and (2) change "the tire is mounted on a vehicle" to --the tire is mounted on the vehicle--.

In claim 22, the scope and meaning of "rotating mount" is unclear. It is suggested to change "rotating mount" (both occurrences) to --rim--. Page 5 of the original disclosure describes "rim".

- The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
- (1) Appropriate incorporation of description relating to "tire forward rotational direction" from claims 11, 18, 19 and 22 into the specification;
- (2) Appropriate incorporation of the description relating to "the tire is mounted on a vehicle" from claims 11, 18 and 19 into the specification; and
- (3) Appropriate incorporation of the description of "vehicle comprising: a rotating mount [rim]; and a pneumatic tire mounted on the rotating mount [rim] for rolling support of the vehicle on the ground" from claim 22 into the specification.
- 6) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,958 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

7) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Japan '410

8) Claims 7, 9-11, 15, 18-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan '410 (JP 3-38410).

Japan '410 discloses a pneumatic tire having a tread including blocks defined by circumferential grooves and transverse grooves wherein the blocks have sipes. See figures 1 and 4. The tread has a non-directional tread pattern. See figures 1 and 4. The footprint of the tire is illustrated in 4. On one side of the tire, the tire has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of an edge of the footprint or a tangent to the contour of an edge of the footprint. See figure 4. On the other side of the tire, the tire has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of the other edge of the footprint or a tangent to the contour of the other edge of the footprint. See figure 4. Japan '410's tire, therefore, has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of the trailing edge of footprint or a tangent to contour of the trailing edge of the footprint.

The claimed vehicle is anticipated by Japan '410. One of ordinary skill in the art would readily understand that Japan '410's tire is mounted on a rim of a vehicle in order

Art Unit: 1733

to be used for its intended purpose. The description of the sipe being in a trailing region of the block fails to require sipe location different from that disclosed by Japan '410.

Smithers Scientific Services, Inc.

9) Claims 7, 9-11, 15, 18-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. (footprint on page 62, March 31, 1989) and Fontaine (US 5343918).

Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. appears to be available as prior art under 35 USC 102(a) or (b) since it was cited in (1) the reexam of US 5176766 as "Smithers Scientific Services, Inc., "Footprints", Apr. 30, 1985, etc.", (2) US 5358022 as "Smithers Scientific Services, Inc., random footprints 1985-1989", and (3) US 5503206 as "Smithers Scientific Services, Inc., random footprints 1985-1989".

Page 62 of Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. (dated March 31, 1989) shows a footprint of a pneumatic tire. The footprint demonstrates that the tread of the tire has a nondirectional pattern comprising circumferential grooves, transverse grooves and a pair of shoulder blocks wherein each shoulder block has three sipes. Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. does not recite a vehicle. However, it would have been obvious to mount the tire of Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. on a car since Fontaine, also directed to a tire including shoulder blocks having plural sipes, suggests using such a tire for a car - one of ordinary skill in the art readily understanding that a pneumatic tire is mounted on the rim of a car. On one side of the tire, the tire has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of an edge of footprint or a tangent to contour of an edge of the footprint. See footprint shown on page 62 of

Art Unit: 1733

(figure 2).

Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. On the other side of the tire, the tire has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of the other edge of the footprint or a tangent to the contour of the other edge of the footprint. See footprint shown on page 62 of Smithers Scientific Services, Inc., The tire having the footprint on page 62 of Smithers Scientific Services, Inc., therefore, has sipes, which at a same axial distance are substantially parallel to the contour of the trailing edge of the footprint or a tangent to the contour of the trailing edge of the footprint. The claims fail to exclude having such sipes in the leading edge region. As to the dependent claims: The tread pattern is clearly nondirectional as claimed in claim 21. As to claims 9 and 10, the description relating to "inner side" reads on and fails to exclude sipes extending across most of the width of the block as indicated by the footprint on page 62 of Smithers Scientific Services, Inc. As to claims 7 and 15, it would have been an obvious to use

Allowable Subject Matter

Services, Inc. and (2) Fontaine's suggestion to use four block in a non-directional tread

more than two rows of blocks while satisfying the limitation regarding the sipe being

substantially parallel to trailing edge in view of (1) the orientation of the sipes and

leading and trailing edges of the footprint shown on page 62 of Smithers Scientific

10) Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1733

Claim 20 differs in scope from the claims of US 6283184 (the patent issuing from parent application 09/042642) since claim 20 requires a combination of vehicle and a tire whereas the claims in US 6283184 do not require the vehicle.

Remarks

11) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 9-11, 15 and 18-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 9-22-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The prior art rejection using Japan '204 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed 9-22-03. There is no motivation to mount Japan '204's tire such that the sipes are parallel to the trailing edge of the footprint instead of the leading edge of the footprint.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is 703-308-2068 until Dec. 18, 2003 and (571) 272-1221 after Dec. 18, 2003. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Art Unit: 1733

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Steven D. Maki November 29, 2003 STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER - GROUP 1300

1733